

14.581 International Trade

— Lecture 10: Ricardo-Roy Model —

Today's Plan

- 1 Overview
- 2 Log-supermodularity
- 3 R-R model
- 4 Cross-sectional predictions
- 5 Comparative static predictions

1. Overview

Assignment Models in the Trade Literature

- Small but rapidly growing literature using assignment models in an international context:
 - Trade: Grossman Maggi (2000), Grossman (2004), Yeaple (2005), Ohnsorge Trefler (2007), Costinot (2009), Costinot Vogel (2010), Sampson (2014), Grossman Helpman Kircher (2013)
 - Offshoring: Kremer Maskin (2003), Antras Garicano Rossi-Hansberg (2006), Nocke Yeaple (2008), Costinot Vogel Wang (2013)
- **What do these models have in common?**
 - Factor allocation can be summarized by an assignment function
 - Large number of factors and/or goods
- **What is the main difference between these models?**
 - *Matching*: Two sides of each match in finite supply (as in Becker 1973)
 - *Sorting*: One side of each match in infinite supply (as in Roy 1951)

- I will restrict myself to sorting models, e.g. Ohnsorge and Trefler (2007), Costinot (2009), and Costinot and Vogel (2010)
 - Production functions are linear, as in Ricardian model
 - But more than one factor per country, as in Roy model
 - **Ricardo-Roy model**
- **Objectives:**
 - 1 Describe how these models relate to “standard” neoclassical models
 - 2 Introduce simple tools from the mathematics of complementarity
 - 3 Use tools to derive cross-sectional and comparative static predictions
- This is very much a methodological lecture. If you are interested in more specific applications, read the papers...

2. Log-Supermodularity

Log-supermodularity

Definition

- **Definition 1** A function $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is log-supermodular if for all $x, x' \in X$, $g(\max(x, x')) \cdot g(\min(x, x')) \geq g(x) \cdot g(x')$

- **Bivariate example:**

- If $g: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is log-spm, then $x'_1 \geq x''_1$ and $x'_2 \geq x''_2$ imply

$$g(x'_1, x'_2) \cdot g(x''_1, x''_2) \geq g(x'_1, x''_2) \cdot g(x''_1, x'_2).$$

- If g is strictly positive, this can be rearranged as

$$g(x'_1, x'_2) / g(x''_1, x'_2) \geq g(x'_1, x''_2) / g(x''_1, x''_2).$$

Log-supermodularity

Results

- **Lemma 1.** $g, h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ *log-spm* $\Rightarrow gh$ *log-spm*
- **Lemma 2.** $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ *log-spm* $\Rightarrow G(x_{-i}) = \int_{X_i} g(x) dx_i$ *log-spm*
- **Lemma 3.** $g : T \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ *log-spm* \Rightarrow
 $x^*(t) \equiv \arg \max_{x \in X} g(t, x)$ *increasing in t*

3. R-R Model

- Consider a world economy with:
 - 1 Multiple countries with characteristics $\gamma \in \Gamma$
 - 2 Multiple goods or sectors with characteristics $\sigma \in \Sigma$
 - 3 Multiple factors of production with characteristics $\omega \in \Omega$
- Factors are immobile across countries, perfectly mobile across sectors
- Goods are freely traded at world price $p(\sigma) > 0$

- Within each sector, factors of production are perfect substitutes

$$Q(\sigma, \gamma) = \int_{\Omega} A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) d\omega,$$

- $A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) \geq 0$ is productivity of ω -factor in σ -sector and γ -country
- **A1** $A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$ is *log-supermodular*
- A1 implies, in particular, that:
 - 1 High- γ countries have a comparative advantage in high- σ sectors
 - 2 High- ω factors have a comparative advantage in high- σ sectors

- $V(\omega, \gamma) \geq 0$ is inelastic supply of ω -factor in γ -country
- **A2** $V(\omega, \gamma)$ is *log-supermodular*
- A2 implies that:
High- γ countries are relatively more abundant in high- ω factors
- Preferences will be described later on when we do comparative statics

4. Cross-Sectional Predictions

4.1 Competitive Equilibrium

- We take the price schedule $p(\sigma)$ as given [small open economy]
- In a competitive equilibrium, L and w must be such that:
 - 1 Firms maximize profit

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) - w(\omega, \gamma) &\leq 0, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega \\ p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) - w(\omega, \gamma) &= 0, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega \text{ s.t. } L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

- 2 Factor markets clear

$$V(\omega, \gamma) = \int_{\sigma \in \Sigma} L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) d\sigma, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega$$

4.2 Patterns of Specialization

Predictions

- Let $\Sigma(\omega, \gamma) \equiv \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) > 0\}$ be the set of sectors in which factor ω is employed in country γ
- **Theorem [PAM]** $\Sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$ is increasing
- **Proof:**
 - 1 Profit maximization $\Rightarrow \Sigma(\omega, \gamma) = \arg \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma} p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$
 - 2 A1 $\Rightarrow p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$ log-spm by Lemma 1
 - 3 $p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$ log-spm $\Rightarrow \Sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$ increasing by Lemma 3
- **Corollary** High- ω factors specialize in high- σ sectors
- **Corollary** High- γ countries specialize in high- σ sectors

4.2 Patterns of Specialization

Relation to the Ricardian literature

- Ricardian model \equiv Special case w/ $A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) \equiv A(\sigma, \gamma)$
- Previous corollary can help explain:
 - 1 **Multi-country-multi-sector Ricardian model;** Jones (1961)
 - According to Jones (1961), efficient assignment of countries to goods solves $\max \sum \ln A(\sigma, \gamma)$
 - According to Corollary, $A(\sigma, \gamma)$ log-spm implies PAM of countries to goods; Becker (1973), Kremer (1993), Legros and Newman (1996).
 - 2 **Institutions and Trade;** Acemoglu Antras Helpman (2007), Costinot (2006), Cuñat Melitz (2006), Levchenko (2007), Matsuyama (2005), Nunn (2007), and Vogel (2007)
 - Papers vary in terms of source of “institutional dependence” σ and “institutional quality” γ
 - ...but same fundamental objective: providing micro-theoretical foundations for the log-supermodularity of $A(\sigma, \gamma)$

4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

- Previous results are about the set of goods that each country produces
- **Question:** *Can we say something about how much each country produces? Or how much it employs in each particular sector?*
- **Answer:** *Without further assumptions, the answer is no*

4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

Additional assumptions

- **A3.** *The profit-maximizing allocation L is unique*
- **A4.** *Factor productivity satisfies $A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) \equiv A(\omega, \sigma)$*
- **Comments:**
 - ① A3 requires $p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$ to be maximized in a *single* sector
 - ② A3 is an implicit restriction on the demand-side of the world-economy
 - ... but it becomes milder and milder as the number of factors or countries increases
 - ... generically true if continuum of factors
 - ③ A4 implies no Ricardian sources of CA across countries
 - Pure Ricardian case can be studied in a similar fashion
 - Having multiple sources of CA is more complex (Costinot 2009)

4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

Output predictions

- **Theorem** *If A3 and 4 hold, then $Q(\sigma, \gamma)$ is log-spm.*
- **Proof:**
 - 1 Let $\Omega(\sigma) \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega \mid p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma) > \max_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} p(\sigma') A(\omega, \sigma')\}$. A3 and A4 imply $Q(\sigma, \gamma) = \int \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(\sigma)}(\omega) \cdot A(\omega, \sigma) V(\omega, \gamma) d\omega$
 - 2 A1 $\Rightarrow \tilde{A}(\omega, \sigma) \equiv \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(\sigma)}(\omega) \cdot A(\omega, \sigma)$ log-spm
 - 3 A2 and $\tilde{A}(\omega, \sigma)$ log-spm + Lemma 1 $\Rightarrow \tilde{A}(\omega, \sigma) V(\omega, \gamma)$ log-spm
 - 4 $\tilde{A}(\omega, \sigma) V(\omega, \gamma)$ log-spm + Lemma 2 $\Rightarrow Q(\sigma, \gamma)$ log-spm
- **Intuition:**
 - 1 A1 \Rightarrow high ω -factors are assigned to high σ -sectors
 - 2 A2 \Rightarrow high ω -factors are more likely in high γ -countries

4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

Output predictions (Cont.)

- **Corollary.** *Suppose that A3 and A4 hold. If two countries produce J goods, with $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2$ and $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_J$, then the high- γ country tends to specialize in the high- σ sectors:*

$$\frac{Q(\sigma_1, \gamma_1)}{Q(\sigma_1, \gamma_2)} \geq \dots \geq \frac{Q(\sigma_J, \gamma_1)}{Q(\sigma_J, \gamma_2)}$$

4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

Employment and revenue predictions

- Let $L(\sigma, \gamma) \equiv \int_{\Omega(\sigma)} V(\omega, \gamma) d\omega$ be aggregate employment
- Let $R(\sigma, \gamma) \equiv \int_{\Omega(\sigma)} r(\omega, \sigma) V(\omega, \gamma) d\omega$ be aggregate revenues
- **Corollary.** *Suppose that A3 and A4 hold. If two countries produce J goods, with $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2$ and $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_J$, then aggregate employment and aggregate revenues follow the same pattern as aggregate output:*

$$\frac{L(\sigma_1, \gamma_1)}{L(\sigma_1, \gamma_2)} \geq \dots \geq \frac{L(\sigma_J, \gamma_1)}{L(\sigma_J, \gamma_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{R(\sigma_1, \gamma_1)}{R(\sigma_1, \gamma_2)} \geq \dots \geq \frac{R(\sigma_J, \gamma_1)}{R(\sigma_J, \gamma_2)}$$

4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

Relation to the previous literature

① Worker Heterogeneity and Trade

- Generalization of Ruffin (1988):
 - Continuum of factors, Hicks-neutral technological differences
 - Results hold for an arbitrarily large number of goods and factors
- Generalization of Ohnsorge and Trefler (2007):
 - No functional form assumption (log-normal distribution of human capital, exponential factor productivity)

② Firm Heterogeneity and Trade

- Closely related to Melitz (2003), Helpman Melitz Yeaple (2004) and Antras Helpman (2004)
 - “Factors” \equiv “Firms” with productivity ω
 - “Countries” \equiv “Industries” with characteristic γ
 - “Sectors” \equiv “Organizations” with characteristic σ
 - $Q(\sigma, \gamma) \equiv$ Sales by firms with “ σ -organization” in “ γ -industry”
- In previous papers, $f(\omega, \gamma)$ log-spm is crucial, Pareto is not

5. Comparative Static Predictions

5.1 Closing The Model

Additional assumptions

- Assumptions A1-4 are maintained
- In order to do comparative statics, we also need to specify the demand side of our model:

$$U = \left\{ \int_{\sigma \in \Sigma} [C(\sigma, \gamma)]^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} d\sigma \right\}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

- For expositional purposes, we will also assume that:
 - $A(\omega, \sigma)$ is *strictly* log-supermodular
 - Continuum of factors and sectors: $\Sigma \equiv [\underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}]$ and $\Omega \equiv [\underline{\omega}, \bar{\omega}]$

5.1 Closing the Model

Autarky equilibrium

Autarky equilibrium is a set of functions (Q, C, L, p, w) such that:

- 1 Firms maximize profit

$$p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma) - w(\omega, \gamma) \leq 0, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega$$

$$p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma) - w(\omega, \gamma) = 0, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega \text{ s.t. } L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) > 0$$

- 2 Factor markets clear

$$V(\omega, \gamma) = \int_{\sigma \in \Sigma} L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) d\sigma, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega$$

- 3 Consumers maximize their utility and good markets clear

$$C(\sigma, \gamma) = I(\gamma) \times p(\sigma)^{-\varepsilon} = Q(\sigma, \gamma)$$

5.1 Closing the Model

Properties of autarky equilibrium

- **Lemma 1** *In autarky equilibrium, there exists an increasing bijection $M : \Omega \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that $L(\omega, \sigma) > 0$ if and only if $M(\omega) = \sigma$*
- **Lemma 2** *In autarky equilibrium, M and w satisfy*

$$\frac{dM(\omega, \gamma)}{d\omega} = \frac{A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma)] V(\omega, \gamma)}{I(\gamma) \times \{p[M(\omega), \gamma]\}^{-\varepsilon}} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma)}{d\omega} = \frac{\partial \ln A[\omega, M(\omega)]}{\partial \omega} \quad (2)$$

with $M(\underline{\omega}, \gamma) = \underline{\sigma}$, $M(\bar{\omega}, \gamma) = \bar{\sigma}$, and
 $p[M(\omega, \gamma), \gamma] = w(\omega, \gamma) / A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma)]$.

5.1 Closing the Model

Properties of autarky equilibrium

- **Proof of Lemma 1:** Similar to proof of PAM in 4.2

- **Proof of Lemma 2:**

- 1 Profit-maximization implies

$$\ln w(\omega, \gamma) = \max_{\sigma} \{ \ln p(\sigma) + \ln A(\omega, \sigma) \}$$

- 2 Thus envelope theorem gives

$$\frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma)}{d\omega} = \frac{\partial \ln A[\omega, M(\omega)]}{\partial \omega}$$

- 3 Factor market + good market clearing imply

$$\int_{\underline{\sigma}}^{M(\omega, \gamma)} \frac{I(\gamma) \times p(\sigma)^{-\varepsilon}}{A(\sigma, \gamma)} d\sigma = \int_{\underline{\omega}}^{\omega} V(v, \gamma) dv$$

- 4 Differentiating with respect to ω gives (1)

5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

- **Question:** *What happens if we change country characteristics from γ to $\gamma' \leq \gamma$?*
- If ω is worker “skill”, this can be thought of as a change in terms of “skill abundance”:

$$\frac{V(\omega, \gamma)}{V(\omega', \gamma)} \geq \frac{V(\omega, \gamma')}{V(\omega', \gamma')}, \text{ for all } \omega > \omega'$$

- If $V(\omega, \gamma)$ was a normal distribution, this would correspond to a change in the mean

5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

Consequence for factor allocation

- **Lemma** $M(\omega, \gamma') \geq M(\omega, \gamma)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$
- **Intuition:**
 - If there are relatively more low- ω factors, more sectors should use them
 - From a sector standpoint, this requires *factor downgrading*

5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

Consequence for factor allocation

- **Proof:** If there is ω s.t. $M(\omega, \gamma') < M(\omega, \gamma)$, then there exist:
 - ① $M(\omega_1, \gamma') = M(\omega_1, \gamma) = \sigma_1$, $M(\omega_2, \gamma') = M(\omega_2, \gamma) = \sigma_2$, and $\frac{M_\omega(\omega_1, \gamma')}{M_\omega(\omega_2, \gamma')} \leq \frac{M_\omega(\omega_1, \gamma)}{M_\omega(\omega_2, \gamma)}$
 - ② Equation (1) $\implies \frac{V(\omega_2, \gamma')}{V(\omega_1, \gamma')} \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma')} \geq \frac{V(\omega_2, \gamma)}{V(\omega_1, \gamma)} \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma)}$
 - ③ V log-spm $\implies \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma')} \geq \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma)}$
 - ④ Equation (2) + zero profits $\implies \frac{d \ln p(\sigma, \gamma)}{d\sigma} = - \frac{\partial \ln A[M^{-1}(\sigma, \gamma), \sigma]}{\partial \sigma}$
 - ⑤ $M^{-1}(\sigma, \gamma) < M^{-1}(\sigma, \gamma')$ for $\sigma \in (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ + A log-spm $\implies \frac{p(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{p(\sigma_2, \gamma)} < \frac{p(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{p(\sigma_2, \gamma')}$
 - ⑥ $\frac{p(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{p(\sigma_2, \gamma)} < \frac{p(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{p(\sigma_2, \gamma')} + \text{CES} \implies \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma')} < \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma)}$. A contradiction

5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

Consequence for factor prices

- A decrease from γ to γ' implies *pervasive rise in inequality*:

$$\frac{w(\omega, \gamma')}{w(\omega', \gamma')} \geq \frac{w(\omega, \gamma)}{w(\omega', \gamma)}, \text{ for all } \omega > \omega'$$

- The mechanism is simple:

- 1 Profit-maximization implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma)}{d\omega} &= \frac{\partial \ln A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma)]}{\partial \omega} \\ \frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma')}{d\omega} &= \frac{\partial \ln A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma')]}{\partial \omega} \end{aligned}$$

- 2 Since A is log-supermodular, task upgrading implies

$$\frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma')}{d\omega} \geq \frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma)}{d\omega}$$

5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

Comments

- In Costinot Vogel (2010), we also consider changes in diversity
 - This corresponds to the case where there exists $\hat{\omega}$ such that $V(\omega, \gamma)$ is log-supermodular for $\omega > \hat{\omega}$, but log-submodular for $\omega < \hat{\omega}$
- We also consider changes in factor demand (Computers? Robots?):

$$U = \left\{ \int_{\sigma \in \Sigma} B(\sigma, \gamma) [C(\sigma, \gamma)]^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} d\sigma \right\}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

5.3 North-South Trade

Free trade equilibrium

- Two countries, Home (H) and Foreign (F), with $\gamma_H \geq \gamma_F$
- A competitive equilibrium in the world economy under free trade is s.t.

$$\frac{dM(\omega, \gamma_T)}{d\omega} = \frac{A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma_T)] V(\omega, \gamma_T)}{I_T \times \{p[M(\omega, \gamma_T), \gamma_T]\}^{-\varepsilon}},$$

$$\frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma_T)}{d\omega} = \frac{\partial \ln A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma_T)]}{\partial \omega},$$

where:

$$M(\underline{\omega}, \gamma_T) = \underline{\sigma} \text{ and } M(\bar{\omega}, \gamma_T) = \bar{\sigma}$$

$$p[M(\omega, \gamma_T), \gamma_T] = w(\omega, \gamma_T) A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma_T)]$$

$$V(\omega, \gamma_T) \equiv V(\omega, \gamma_H) + V(\omega, \gamma_F)$$

5.3 North South Trade

Free trade equilibrium

- **Key observation:**

$$\frac{V(\omega, \gamma_H)}{V(\omega', \gamma_H)} \geq \frac{V(\omega, \gamma_F)}{V(\omega', \gamma_F)}, \text{ for all } \omega > \omega' \Rightarrow \frac{V(\omega, \gamma_H)}{V(\omega', \gamma_H)} \geq \frac{V(\omega, \gamma_T)}{V(\omega', \gamma_T)} \geq \frac{V(\omega, \gamma_F)}{V(\omega', \gamma_F)}$$

- Continuum-by-continuum extensions of two-by-two HO results:

- ① *Changes in skill-intensities:*

$$M(\omega, \gamma_H) \leq M(\omega, \gamma_T) \leq M(\omega, \gamma_F), \text{ for all } \omega$$

- ② *Strong Stolper-Samuelson effect:*

$$\frac{w(\omega, \gamma_H)}{w(\omega', \gamma_H)} \leq \frac{w(\omega, \gamma_T)}{w(\omega', \gamma_T)} \leq \frac{w(\omega, \gamma_F)}{w(\omega', \gamma_F)}, \text{ for all } \omega > \omega'$$

5.3 North South Trade

Other Predictions

- North-South trade driven by factor demand differences:
 - Same logic gets to the exact opposite results
 - Correlation between factor demand and factor supply considerations matters
- One can also extend analysis to study “North-North” trade:
 - It predicts wage polarization in the more diverse country and wage convergence in the other

- Costinot and Vogel (2015, ARE) review a number of extensions:
 - ① Monopolistic competition (Sampson 2014, AEJ)
 - ② Vertical specialization (Costinot, Vogel and Wang 2013, RES)
 - ③ Heterogeneous preferences (Redding 2013)
 - ④ Endogenous skills (Blanchard and Willman 2013)

What's next?

- Theory:
 - Learning by doing (build on GRH 2010?)
 - Labor market frictions (build on Teulings 2003?)
 - Endogenous technology adoption
- Empirics:
 - Revisiting the consequences of trade liberalization (Adao 2016)
 - Parametric applications with extreme value distributions?
 - More flexible approaches?